

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/615,736	07/13/2000	David Frederick Horrobin	P65773US0	4938
7590 · 10/21/2003			EXAMINER	
Jacobson Price Holman & Stern			PESELEV, ELLI	
Professional Limited Liability Company 400 Seventh Street NW Washington, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623) ~
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		.				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
•	09/615,736	HORROBIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elli Peselev	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	September 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINA L. 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 16-19,21-39,42 and 44-48 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19, 21-39, 42 and 44-48</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/615,736

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 16-19, 21, 23-34 and 37-39, 42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haglund et al for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of May 12, 2003.

Applicant's arguments filed September 11, 2003 hane been considered but have not been found persuasive.

Applicant contends that B12 is not an ingredient in the formulations disclosed by Haglund et al.

This argument has been found persuasive with respect to claims 22, 35, 36 and 48. However, note that the formulations of claims 16-19, 21, 23-34, 37-39, 42 and 44-47 are not limited to the presence of vitamin B12 but can contain instead folic acid or vitamin B6. Further, note that Haglund et al disclose the presence of fish oil and Applicant has admitted in his response of April 9, 2003 that "the most obvious source of natural oils containing EFAs is fish oil".

Applicant also contends that Haglund et al discloses administration of 80 mg per day of vitamin B6 and 10 mg per day of folic acid, while the instant claims have been limited to 20 mg per day of vitamin B6 and 5 mg per day of folic acid. This argument has not been found persuasive. Haglund et al teach on page 1361 that the dosage of 80 mg per day of vitamin B6 is a rather high one as it may cause side effects and that dosage of 25 mg per day might be sufficient. Further, note that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made would have expected that the lower dosage of vitamins used by applicant might be less effective that the

Application/Control Number: 09/615,736

Art Unit: 1623

dosages shown by Haglund et al. Applicants have not presented any evidence to show that such is not the case.

Claims 16-19, 21-39, 42 and 44-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heijer et al in combination with Horrobin and Huglund et al for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of May 12, 2003.

Applicant has failed to provide any evidence that using lower dosages of vitamins does not result in a formulation which is less effective.

Applicant's arguments filed September 11, 2003 have been considered but have not been found persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is 703-308-4616. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8.30 a.m. - 5.00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 09/615,736

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Page 4